

***Business Statistics (Canadian) 4th edition Sharpe
Solutions Manual***

SKU: 9780135582084-SOLUTIONS

Instructor Resource Guide

Alexei Gokhman and Ioulia Kim
Humber College

Business Statistics Fourth Canadian Edition

Noreen R. Sharpe
St. John's University

Richard D. De Veaux
Williams College

Paul F. Velleman
Cornell University

David Wright
University of Ottawa



ISBN: 978-0-13-672659-3

Copyright © 2021 Pearson Canada Inc., Toronto, Ontario. All rights reserved. This work is protected by Canadian copyright laws and is provided solely for the use of instructors in teaching their courses and assessing student learning. Dissemination or sale of any part of this work (including on the Internet) will destroy the integrity of the work and is not permitted. The copyright holder grants permission to instructors who have adopted *Business Statistics*, by Sharpe, De Veaux, Velleman and Wright, to post this material online only if the use of the website is restricted by access codes to students in the instructor's class that is using the textbook and provided the reproduced material bears this copyright notice.

Contents

About This Guide 0-1

Part I: Exploring and Collecting Data

Chapter 1	An Introduction to Statistics	1-1
Chapter 2	Data	2-1
Chapter 3	Surveys and Sampling	3-1
Chapter 4	Displaying and Describing Categorical Data	4-1
Chapter 5	Displaying and Describing Quantitative Data	5-1
Chapter 6	Scatterplots, Association, and Correlation	6-1
Chapter 7	Introduction to Linear Regression	7-1

Part II: Understanding Probability Distributions and Statistical Inference

Chapter 8	Randomness and Probability	8-1
Chapter 9	Random Variables and Probability Distributions	9-1
Chapter 10	Sampling Distributions	10-1
Chapter 11	Confidence Intervals for Proportions	11-1
Chapter 12	Testing Hypotheses About Proportions	12-1
Chapter 13	Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Tests for Means	13-1
Chapter 14	Comparing Two Means	14-1
Chapter 15	Design of Experiments and Analysis of Variance, ANOVA	15-1
Chapter 16	Interference for Counts: Chi-Square Tests	16-1
Chapter 17	Nonparametric Methods	17-1

Part III: Exploring Relationships Among Variables

Chapter 18	Inference for Regression	18-1
Chapter 19	Understanding Regression Residuals	19-1
Chapter 20	Multiple Regression	20-1
Chapter 21	Building Multiple Regression Models	21-1

Part IV: Using Statistics for Decision Making

Chapter 22	Time Series Analysis	22-1
Chapter 23	Decision Making and Risk	23-1
Chapter 24	Quality Control	24-1

Chapter 1

An Introduction to Statistics

What's It About?

This chapter is about Statistics – what it is and why we care. It also describes the important features of the text.

Comments

Do expect your students to read the book. Give them specific reading assignments, starting with this first chapter. We've tried to make the book engaging. You can assign a few pages of reading along with some problems each night. Chapter 1 makes a good opening assignment.

This is the students' first look at the style of the book, and we do lay it on more heavily here than we will when discussing, say, confidence intervals. We want to shake things up. We want them to notice that this is not the same old math or science textbook they've seen before. And we'd like to get them on our side. That's the reason for the humour and self-deprecating remarks.

Every Statistics text starts with a definition of *Statistics*. We do too, but ours is different. And the difference matters. We say that Statistics is *a way of reasoning* and that the goal is *to help us understand the world*. We've found it helpful to reinforce this idea throughout the book, especially when we get into the methods sections of the course. This book is first and primarily about statistical thinking. Methods, definitions, and skills are all here, but each is presented with the purpose of understanding the world so we can make better business decisions. That's why every guided example follows the *Plan, Do, Report* pattern, starting with careful reasoning and concluding with a sentence or two telling what we've learned about the world.

It is easy to be drawn into a focus on definitions, on algorithms, and on getting the "right answer." Those are easier to teach and certainly easier to grade. Please resist the temptation. If you can help your students to stay focused on statistical thinking and understanding, this course can change the way they view the world.

We have included a section on "20th and 21st Century Statistics" that describes how statistics has evolved, particularly today with its uses in analytics.

Since this text is designed for business students, we have also included a section on how the various business disciplines use statistics. This may help to address the commonly heard question of "why do I need to know this?"

A Note on Teaching

We don't spend an entire class period on this chapter; in fact, sometimes we just start right in on Chapter 2. Chapter 1 is meant to be read *by* students not *to* them. Discuss the important definitions, collect some data, and move on as fast as you can. We've got a lot to cover and can't afford a full class just to get moving.

Looking Ahead

Most of our own students actually do the reading. (Yes, we were surprised at first.) If you can hook students on reading the text, there are big benefits later on. As they read they learn that the

1-2 Part I Exploring and Collecting Data

book is a valuable resource to help them understand sticky concepts, to help lead them through writing complete and clear solutions, and to help them avoid common mistakes.

Class Do's

One of our favourite definitions says “Statistics is the art of distilling meaning from data.” Data have a story to tell. Our objective is to uncover that story. Be sure to distinguish the differences between data and statistics. Collect some data in class, and ask students to look for interesting facts hiding there. Or have students gather current economic or business data from the internet.

Encourage students to think about the concepts and definitions in this chapter. For example, why do we talk about “a statistic” when we don’t discuss “a mathematic” or “a physic”? Statistics is a whole that is made up of many parts, and each of those parts has its own meaning and its own story to tell. Ask students about how different companies may utilise large amounts of data. For example, what data might a large company such as The Bay or Fairmont Hotels & Resorts look at and for what purpose?

The Importance of What You Don't Say

One of the reasons Statistics can be difficult to teach is that we often deal with vague concepts. Students and instructors both like clear definitions; they’re easier to teach, learn, and grade. But reasonable people can disagree about whether a histogram is symmetric or skewed, whether a straggling point is really an outlier or just the largest value, or whether the spread really is the same in two groups we want to compare. It is important to allow students their own opinions and insights into data.

Avoid the temptation to lead students in any particular direction or give them hints about how to examine the data you collected. They know some things to do—they’ll find percents and averages, maybe draw some simple graphs, and write a few sentences about what they see. Just let it happen. You’ll have plenty of chances to suggest, lead, and modify later on.

The motivation of hoping to understand the world is quite sufficient to justify thinking beyond the numbers at hand. This is not the time to introduce technical concepts of inference. We’d rather not see these ideas yet (and you won’t find them this early in the text). We all know that we’ll be heading that way eventually, but if we make reasoning about patterns in the data too formal too soon, we’ll stifle students’ interest and enthusiasm and burden them with a lot of terms they don’t have a context for just yet.

Class Examples

Take a quick class survey. Make sure that you have a good mix of quantitative and categorical variables. Some possible variables are gender, Program of Study (Acct’g, Fn’c, Mrkt’g, etc.) number of siblings, number of provinces visited, and shoe size. Start passing a survey sheet around at the very beginning of class; it can circulate quickly while you are introducing yourself and talking about the course, expectations, and so on. Passing out slips of paper with a list of states for each student to check off will speed things up, so we provide that, too. After you’ve entered the data into the computer, give the students the data file and ask them what story it tells about their class. You’ll find that a lot of important issues will surface during discussions. As new methods are introduced throughout the course, you can continue using the data for examples.

Hints: Data are rarely as simple as they seem. Suggest the variables above, and then pause for some discussion. Does touching down at an airport qualify as “visiting” a province? Does an only

child count herself when counting siblings? Should shoe sizes be adjusted because men's and women's size 7 are different sizes? Give them a chance and they'll find other issues—and they'll be developing a healthy skepticism for data. That's just what we want, so they'll value the tools that help them look at data more carefully.

If you don't specify units for height, you will almost certainly get some values in centimeters. Alternatively, if you specify inches, you may get a "55" from someone who meant 5'5". Those outliers make for good class discussion.

Resources

Decisions Through Data^{*}

- Video Unit 1: What is Statistics

ActivStats[†]

Have your students work through the initial lesson in *ActivStats* now (which is available at www.pearsonmylabandmastering.com/northamerica/mystatlab/) to be sure there are no glitches.

Assignment:

Read Chapter 1. Explore the data collected, and make some observations about the class. What could you report to someone who asked you to describe your class? What did you find interesting (or perhaps surprising) about the class?

^{*} *Decisions Through Data*; COMAP (1-800-77COMAP), 1992.

1-4 Part I Exploring and Collecting Data

An Example of a Survey Sheet

<i>Student #</i>	<i>Gender (F/M)</i>	<i>Program of Study (Acct'g, Fn'c, Mrkt'g, etc.)</i>	<i># of Siblings</i>	<i># of Provinces Visited</i>	<i>Shoe Size</i>	<i>Height</i>
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						

Chapter 2—Data

SECTION EXERCISES

SECTION 2.1

1.
 - a) Each row represents a different house that was recently sold. It is best described as a case.
 - b) Including the house identifier, there are six variables in each row.
2.
 - a) Each row represents a different transaction (not a customer or a book). It is best described as a case.
 - b) Including the transaction identifier, there are eight variables in each row.

SECTION 2.2

3.
 - a) House_ID is an identifier (special type of categorical); Neighbourhood is categorical (nominal); YR_BUILT is quantitative (units—year), but could also be treated as categorical (ordinal); FULL_MARKET_VALUE is quantitative (units—dollars); SFLA is quantitative (units—sq. ft.).
 - b) These data are cross-sectional. Each row corresponds to a house that recently sold—at approximately the same fixed point in time.
4.
 - a) Transaction ID is an identifier (special type of categorical); Customer ID is an identifier (special type of categorical); Date is categorical or may be treated as numerical if redefined as how many days ago the transaction took place; ISBN is an identifier (special type of categorical); Price is quantitative (units—dollars); Coupon is categorical (simply nominal); Gift is categorical (simply nominal); Quantity is quantitative (unit—counts).
 - b) These data are cross-sectional. Each row corresponds to a transaction at a fixed point in time. However, the date of the transaction has been recorded. Consequently, since a time variable is included, the data could be reconfigured as a time series.

SECTION 2.3

5. The real estate data in Exercise 1 are not from a designed survey or experiment. Rather, the real estate major's data set was derived from transactional data (on local home sales). The major concern with drawing conclusions from this data set is that we cannot be sure that the sample is representative of the population of interest (e.g., all recent local home sales or even all recent national home sales).
6. The student is using a secondary data source (from the internet). The main concerns about using these data for drawing conclusions is that the data were collected for a different purpose (not necessarily for developing a stock investment strategy) and information about how, when, and why they were collected may not be available. Also, the dataset probably doesn't contain information about companies that went bankrupt. Investors would want to know about them so as to avoid investing in similar companies.

CHAPTER EXERCISES

7. **Canadian labour force.**
 - a) Someone on vacation from a full-time job is employed (they are on the payroll of their employer).
 - b) Someone who is not working, has a job offer, but is trying to find a better offer is unemployed because they are not working but are available and searching for work.
 - c) Someone who looked for work until six months ago but then gave up looking is not in the labour force because they are not searching for work.

8. Non-employment in Canada.

- a) The labour force
- b) Unemployed and non-employed are both “available” for work. Unemployed are the subgroup that are actively “searching.” So the answer is yes.

9. Domestic credit in Canada. Imagine collecting the data and putting it in a table with one row for each year and two columns corresponding to the two variables: domestic credit and GDP. The rows identify the *Who* of the data (i.e., the years) and the column headings identify the *What* (domestic credit and GDP). *When* is recent years, *Where* is Canada, and *Why* is to investigate possible future trends. The two variables of domestic credit and GDP are both quantitative and measured in \$ billion. *Concerns*—none.

10. Oil spills. The description of the study has to be broken down into its components in order to understand it. *Who*—50 tankers having recent major oil spills. *What*—what is being measured: date, spillage amount (no specified unit), and cause of puncture. *When*—recent years. *Where*—United States. *Why*—not specified but probably to determine whether spillage amount per oil spill has decreased. *How*—how was the study conducted not specified, although it is mentioned that the data are online. *Variables*—what is the variable being measured There are three variables—the date, the spillage amount (which is quantitative), and the cause of the puncture (which is categorical). *Concerns*—more detail needed on the specifics of the study.

11. Sales, part 1. The description of the study has to be broken down into its components in order to understand it. *Who*—who or what was actually sampled: months at a major Canadian company. *What*—what is being measured: money spent on advertising (\$ thousands) and sales (\$ million). *When*—monthly for the past three years; *Where* Canada (assumed). *Why*—to compare money spent on advertising to sales. *How*—how was the study conducted: not specified. *Variables*—what is the variable being measured There are three variables—the date, the amount of money spent on advertising (which is quantitative), and sales (which are quantitative). *Concerns*—none.

12. Food store. *Who*—who or what was actually sampled: existing stores. *What*—what is being measured: weekly sales (\$), town population (thousands), median age of town (years), median income of town (\$), and whether the stores sell beer/wine. *When*—not specified. *Where*—Canada. *Why*—the food retailer is interested in understanding if there is an association among these variables in order to determine where to open the next store. *How*—how was the study conducted: data collected from their stores? *Variables*—what is the variable being measured: sales (\$), town population (thousands), median age of town (years), and median income of town (\$), which are all quantitative. Whether or not the stores sell beer/wine is categorical. *Concerns*—none.

13. Sales, part 2. *Who*—who or what was actually sampled: quarterly data from a major Canadian company. *What*—what is being measured: quarterly sales (\$ millions), unemployment rate (%), inflation rate (%). *When*—quarterly for the past three years. *Where*—Canada. *Why*—to determine how sales are affected by the unemployment rate and inflation rate. *How*—how was the study conducted: not specified. *Variables*—what is the variable being measured: quarterly sales (\$ millions), unemployment rate (%), and inflation rate (%)—which are quantitative. *Concerns*—none.

14. Subway’s menu. *Who*—Subway sandwiches. *What*—type of meat, number of calories, and serving size (in ounces). *When*—not specified. *Where*—Subway restaurants. *Why*—to assess the nutritional value of the different sandwiches. *How*—information gathered on each of the sandwiches offered on the menu. *Variables*—the number of calories and serving size (ounces) are quantitative, and the type of meat is categorical. *Concerns*—none.

15. MBA admissions, part 1. *Who*—MBA applicants. *What*—sex, age, whether or not accepted, whether or not attended, and reasons for not attending (if they did not attend). *When*—not specified. *Where*—the school. *Why*—the researchers wanted to investigate any patterns in female student acceptance and attendance in the MBA program. *How*—data obtained from the admissions office. *Variables*—sex, whether or not students accepted, whether or not they attended, the reasons for not attending (all categorical), and age (years), which is quantitative. *Concerns*—none.

- 16. Climate.** *Who*—385 species of flowers. *What*—date of first flowering (in days). *When*—data gathered over the course of 47 years. *Where*—southern England. *Why*—the researchers wanted to investigate if the first flowering is indicating a warming of the overall climate. *How*—not specified. *Variables*—date of first flowering is a quantitative variable. *Concerns*—date of first flowering should be measured in days from January 1 to address leap year issues.
- 17. MBA admissions, part 2.** *Who*—MBA students. *What*—each student's standardized test scores and GPA in the MBA program. *When*—the past five years. *Where*—London. *Why*—to investigate the association between standardized test scores and performance in the MBA program over the past five years. *How*—not specified. *Variables*—standardized test scores and GPA, both quantitative variables. *Concerns*—none.
- 18. Canadian schools.** *Who*—students. *What*—age (years or years and months), number of days absent, grade level, reading score, math score, and any disabilities/special needs. *When*—ongoing and current. *Where*—a Canadian province. *Why*—keeping this information is a provincial requirement. *How*—data are collected and stored as part of school records. *Variables*—there are seven variables. Grade level and disabilities/special needs are categorical variables. Number of absences, age (years or years and months), reading scores, and math scores are quantitative variables. *Concerns*—what tests are used to measure reading and math ability and what are the units of measurement?
- 19. Pharmaceutical firm.** *Who*—experimental participants. *What*—herbal cold remedy or sugar solution, and cold severity. *When*—not specified. *Where*—major pharmaceutical firm. *Why*—scientists were testing the effectiveness of an herbal compound on the severity of the common cold. *How*—scientists conducted a controlled experiment. *Variables*—there are two variables. Type of treatment (herbal or sugar solution) is categorical, and severity rating is quantitative. *Concerns*—the severity of a cold might be difficult to quantify (beneficial to add actual observations and measurements, such as body temperature). Also, scientists at a pharmaceutical firm could have a predisposed opinion about the herbal solution or may feel pressure to report negative findings about the herbal product.
- 20. Startup company.** *Who*—customers of a startup company. *What*—customer name, ID number, region of the country, date of last purchased, amount of purchase (\$), and item purchased. *When*—present day. *Where*—Canada (assumed). *Why*—the company is building a database of customers and sales information. *How*—assumed that the company records the needed information from each new customer. *Variables*—there are six variables: name, ID number, region of the country, and item purchased (which are categorical), and date and amount of purchase (\$) (which are quantitative). *Concerns*—although region is coded as a number, it is still a categorical variable.
- 21. Cars.** *Who*—cars parked in executive and staff lots at a large company. *What*—make, country of origin, type of vehicle (car, van, SUV, etc.), and age of vehicle (probably in years). *When*—not specified. *Where*—a large company. *Why*—not specified. *How*—data recorded in executive and staff lots of a large company. *Variables*—make, country of origin, and type of vehicle are three categorical variables. Age is the single quantitative variable. Whether or not the vehicle is in an executive or staff lot is also a categorical variable. *Concerns*—none.
- 22. Canadian vineyards.** *Who*—vineyards. *What*—size, number of years in existence, province, varieties of grapes grown, average case price, gross sales, and percent profit. *When*—not specified. *Where*—Canada. *Why*—business analysts hope to provide information that would be helpful to grape growers in Canada. *How*—not specified. *Variables*—size of vineyard (hectares), number of years in existence, average case price (\$), gross sales (\$), and percent profit are five quantitative variables. Province and variety of grapes grown are categorical variables. *Concerns*—none.
- 23. Environment.** *Who*—streams. *What*—name of stream, substrate of the stream (limestone, shale, or mixed), acidity of the water (measured in PH), temperature (degrees Celsius), and BCI (a measure of biological diversity—unknown units). *When*—not specified. *Where*—Alberta. *Why*—research conducted for an ecology class. *How*—not specified. *Variables*—there are five variables. Name of stream and substrate of the stream (limestone, shale, or mixed) are categorical variables. Acidity of the water (PH), temperature (degrees Celsius), and BCI are quantitative variables. *Concerns*—none.

- 24. Canadian voters.** *Who*—1180 Canadian voters. *What*—region, age, party affiliation, whether or not the person owned any shares of stock, and their attitude toward unions. *When*—not specified. *Where*—Canada. *Why*—the information was gathered as part of a Gallup public opinion poll. *How*—telephone survey. *Variables*—there are five variables. Region (East, West, Prairie, etc.), party affiliation, and whether or not the person owned any shares of stock are categorical variables. Age (in years) and attitude (scale of 1 to 5) toward unions are quantitative variables. *Concerns*—none.
- 25. CTA.** *Who*—all airline flights in Canada. *What*—type of aircraft, number of passengers, whether departures and arrivals were on schedule, and mechanical problems. *When*—the information is currently recorded. *Where*—Canada. *Why*—the information is required by the CTA. *How*—data is collected from airline flight information. *Variables*—there are four variables. Type of aircraft, whether departures and arrivals were on schedule, and mechanical problems are categorical variables. Number of passengers is a quantitative variable. *Concerns*—none.
- 26. Mobile phones.** *Who*—mobile phone manufacturers. *What*—sales. *When*—past three years. *Where*—worldwide. *Why*—to project the future of the mobile phone business. *Variable*—sales, which is a quantitative variable measured in \$. *Concerns*—none.
- 27. Canadian families.** *Who*—all Canadians (since it is a census). *What*—family type. *When*—every five years. *Where*—Canada. *Why*—to investigate social trends. *Variable*—family type, which is a categorical variable. *Concerns*—none.
- 28. Canadian oil and gas production.** *Who*—crude oil, natural gas, natural gas by products. *What*—value and volume. *When*—every year. *Where*—Canada. *Why*—not specified. *Variables*—value and volume, both quantitative (measured in \$ and m³, respectively). *Concerns*—none.
- 29. Overnight visitors to Canada.** *Who*—overnight visitors to Canada. *What*—number of nights spent in Canada and money spent in Canada. *When*—each year. *Where*—Canada. *Why*—to provide information for the tourism industry. *Variables*—number of nights spent in Canada and money spent in Canada, both of which are quantitative variables (with no units and with units of \$, respectively). *Concerns*—none.
- 30. Stock market.** *Who*—students in an MBA statistics class. *What*—total personal investment in stock market (\$), number of different stocks held, total invested in mutual funds (\$), and the name of each mutual fund. *When*—not specified. *Where*—a business school in Toronto. *Why*—the information was collected for use in classroom illustrations. *How*—an online survey was conducted, and participation was probably required for all members of the class. *Variables*—there are four variables. Total personal investment in stock market (\$), number of different stocks held, and total invested in mutual funds (\$) are quantitative variables. The name of each mutual fund is a categorical variable. *Concerns*—none.
- 31. Theme park sites.** *Who*—potential theme park locations. *What*—country of site, estimated cost (in euros), potential population size within a one-hour drive of site (counts), size of site (hectares), and whether or not mass transportation is within five minutes of site. *When*—2017. *Where*—Europe. *Why*—present to potential developers on the feasibility of various sites. *How*—not specified. *Variables*—there are five variables. Country of site and whether or not mass transportation is within five minutes of site are both categorical variables. Estimated cost (€), potential population size (counts), and size of site (hectares) are quantitative. *Concerns*—none.
- 32. Indy.** *Who*—Indianapolis 500 races. *What*—year, winner, car model, time (hrs), speed (mph), and car number. *When*—1911–2012. *Where*—Indianapolis, Indiana. *Why*—examine trends in Indianapolis 500 race winners. *How*—official statistics kept for each race every year. *Variables*—there are six variables. Winner, car model, and car number are categorical variables. Year, time (hrs), and speed (mph) are quantitative variables. *Concerns*—none.

- 33. Kentucky Derby.** *Who*—Kentucky Derby races. *What*—date, winner, winning margin (in lengths), jockey, winner's payoff (\$), duration of the race (minutes and seconds), and track conditions. *When*—1875–2012. *Where*—Churchill Downs, Louisville, Kentucky. *Why*—examine trends in Kentucky Derby winners. *How*—official statistics kept for each race every year. *Variables*—there are seven variables. Winner, winning jockey, and track conditions are categorical variables. Date, winning margin (in lengths), winner's payoff (\$), and duration of the race (minutes and seconds) are quantitative variables. *Concerns*—none.
- 34. Mortgages.** Each row represents each individual mortgage loan. Headings of the columns would be: borrower name and mortgage amount (\$).
- 35. Employee performance.** Each row represents each individual employee. Headings of the columns would be Employee ID Number (to identify the row instead of the name), contract average (\$), supervisor's rating (1–10), and years with the company.
- 36. Company performance.** Each row represents a week. Headings of the columns would be week number of the year (to identify each row), sales prediction (\$), sales (\$), and difference between predicted sales and realized sales (\$).
- 37. Command performance.** Each row represents a Broadway show. Headings of the columns would be the show name (identifies the row), profit or loss (\$), number of investors, and investment total (\$).
- 38. Car sales.** Cross-sectional data are taken from situations that may vary over time but are only being measured at a single time. This problem focuses on data for September as a whole, which is a single time period. Therefore, the data are cross-sectional.
- 39. Motorcycle sales.** Time series data are measured over time. Usually the time intervals are equally spaced (e.g., every week, every quarter, or every year). This problem focuses on the number of motorcycles sold by the dealership in each month of last year; therefore, the data are measured over a period of time and are time series data.
- 40. Cross-sections.** Time series data are measured over time. Usually the time intervals are equally spaced (e.g., every week, every quarter, or every year). This problem focuses on the average diameter of trees brought to a sawmill in each week of a year; therefore, the data are measured over a period of time and are time series data.
- 41. Series.** Cross-sectional data are taken from situations that may vary over time but are measured at a single time. This problem focuses on data for attendance at the third World Series game. Therefore, the data are cross-sectional.
- 42. Canadian immigrants.**
- a) *Who*: years. *What*: percentage unemployment rates. *When*: 2009–2013. *Where*: Canada. *Why*: to compare unemployment rates among demographic groups. *How*: compiled from the Statistics Canada Labour Force Survey.
 - b)
 - i). Year and Unemployment Rate (%) are quantitative. Gender, Education Level, and Immigrant Status are categorical.
 - ii). For a given year, the data are cross-sectional. Overall the data are time series.
 - iii). All data are secondary because they are derived from the primary interview data in the Canadian Labour Force Survey.

43. 3-D printing.

- a) *Who*—applications of 3-D printing. *What*—which industry the application is in, whether the material used is a metal alloy or a polymer, and the maximum dimension of the object printed. *Where*—worldwide. *When*—from the advent of 3-D printing to today. *Why*—to sell report on applications of 3-D printing. *How*—not specified.
- b)
 - i) Quantitative: the maximum dimension of the object printed.
Categorical: which industry the application is in, whether the material used is a metal alloy or a polymer.
 - ii) Cross-sectional: all applications during the past year.
Time series: statistical summary of trends in applications.
 - iii) Primary: applications of 3-D printing.
Secondary: statistical summary of trends in applications.

44. Blockchain.

- a) *Who*—applications of blockchains. *What*—the industry in which blockchains are used, the type of information stored, how many copies of the blockchain are maintained, and the number of data items that can be recorded on the blockchain per second. *Where*—Canada. *When*—last year. *Why*—to sell statistical summaries and case examples on applications of blockchains. *How*—survey of Canadian industry.
- b)
 - i) Quantitative: how many copies of the blockchain are maintained and the number of data items that can be recorded on the blockchain per second.
Categorical: the industry in which blockchains are used, the type of information stored.
 - ii) Cross-sectional: all applications during the past year.
Time series: none yet.
 - iii) Primary: applications of blockchains, interviews with users.
Secondary: statistical summary, business benefits of blockchain.

45. News tweets.

- a) *Who*—news tweets. *What*—whether the news is true or fake, the novelty of the news on a scale of 1–10, the expected emotional reaction to the news as either positive or negative, and the number of re-tweets in 24 hours. *Where*—international. *When*—every 24 hours. *Why*—to publish a statistical summary of new tweets. *How*—by access to Twitter.
- b)
 - i) Quantitative: the novelty of the news on a scale of 1–10 and the number of re-tweets in 24 hours.
Categorical: the expected emotional reaction to the news as either positive or negative.
 - ii) Cross-sectional: all news tweets during 24 hours.
Time series: every 24 hours since January 2017.
 - iii) Primary: news tweets.
Secondary: novelty, number of re-tweets.

46. Interpreting published data.

Answers will vary.

Mini Case: Ottawa Senators

PLAN	Setup Clarify the objective.	Identify the types of data in the data file.
DO	Mechanics Describe the W's for the data. Identify the types of variables that the data consists of.	WHAT: The answer comes from the column headings. The shooter's first and last names, the team, the shooter's positions, total shots, total goals, shooting percentage, and the number of game-deciding goals. WHO: The shooter, since this is what each row of the table is about. WHERE: The data are from the NHL for games played across North America. WHEN: Data entries were recorded after each game in the 2007–08 season. WHY: The data has been collected to record the results of NHL shootouts. Variable Type: The shooter's name, team, and position are primary data, since they have not been processed or summarized. The rest of the data are secondary, since they are a summary of the results of shots taken during multiple games and have therefore been processed. The whole table is cross-sectional, since it all applies to the 2007–08 season. The data on the shooter's name, team, and position are categorical and the rest of the data are quantitative.
REPORT	Conclusion Summarize the results.	We have identified the W's and the variable types of our data. The data is partly primary and partly secondary. The data is cross-sectional. The data is partly categorical and partly quantitative.

Mini Case: Credit Card Company

PLAN	Setup: State the objective	To gain a clear understanding of the data available.
DO	Mechanics: <i>List the W's for these data:</i> <i>Classify each variable as categorical or quantitative; if quantitative identify the units:</i>	Large format tables and graphs (if any) are placed below this PLAN/DO/REPORT table. <i>Who</i>—company cardholders <i>What</i>—offer status (type of offer made to cardholder); credit card charges made by cardholder in August 2008, September 2008, and October 2008; marketing segment; industry segment; amount of spend lift after promotion; average spending on card pre- and post-promotion; whether or not cardholder is a retail customer or enrolled in the program; and whether or not the spend lift was positive <i>Why</i>—to determine what types of offers are most effective in increasing credit card spending <i>When</i>—most likely in 2008 <i>Where</i>—not specified <i>How</i>—demographic data most likely collected when credit card account was opened and spending data collected during transactions <i>Variables:</i> <i>Offer Status</i>—categorical <i>Charges August 2008</i>—quantitative (\$) <i>Charges September 2008</i>—quantitative (\$) <i>Charges October 2008</i>—quantitative (\$) <i>Marketing Segment</i>—categorical <i>Industry Segment</i>—categorical <i>Spend Lift After Promotion</i>—quantitative (\$) <i>Pre-Promotion Avg Spend</i>—quantitative (\$) <i>Post-Promotion Avg Spend</i>—quantitative (\$) <i>Retail Customer</i>—categorical <i>Enrolled in Program</i>—categorical <i>Spend Lift Positive</i>—categorical
REPORT	Conclusion: State the conclusion in the context of the original objective.	We have clarified what the data consist of and the details are given above.

Mini Case: Canadian Immigrants

PLAN	State the objective in its context.	Clarify the implications of the differences in unemployment rates of immigrants and people born in Canada.
DO	Mechanics (a) Which data was Svetlana referring to? (b) What other explanations are there of the data other than “Canadian employers are against immigrants.” (c) What additional data do you suggest Statistics Canada should collect in order to clarify this issue?	(a) The data on Canadian-born males indicate a marked difference in unemployment from 6.2–8.5% for high school graduates to 2.9–3.7% for university graduates. However, for immigrant females, the difference is only from 8.8–11.8% to 7.7–9.2%. (b) Employers could have clear reasons for not employing immigrants, other than being against them—for example, poor knowledge of English/French, graduation from an overseas university with lower standards than the average Canadian university. Also, some immigrants, such as doctors, are required to re-qualify in order to work in Canada, and many become unemployed in the interim. (c) Statistics Canada could collect data on the universities attended by people born in Canada and by immigrants, and the factors employers consider when hiring employees (in addition to their academic qualifications).
REPORT	Conclusion. State the conclusion in the context of the original objective.	The data clearly show higher unemployment rates for immigrants than for people born in Canada even when they have the same level of academic qualifications. In order to draw conclusions from these data, more detail is needed on whether the academic qualifications are comparable and what other factors employers consider.